

# **FISCAL NOTE**

Date: April 19, 2018 **Drafting Number:** LLS 18-1125 Bill Status: House SVMA Rep. Melton; Salazar **Prime Sponsors:** 

Fiscal Analyst: Chris Creighton | 303-866-5834

Chris.Creighton@state.co.us

REPEAL EXCEPTION TO CONSTITUTIONAL BAN ON SLAVERY Bill Topic:

Summary of **Fiscal Impact:**  State Expenditure (conditional)

☑ TABOR Refund (conditional) □ Local Government (conditional)

□ State Transfer □ Statutory Public Entity

This concurrent resolution, refers a question to the voters that, if approved, would repeal a constitutional exception and prohibit slavery or involuntary servitude in all circumstances. This concurrent resolution may increase state and local government

revenue, expenditures, and workload by a minimal amount.

**Appropriation Summary:** 

No appropriation is required.

**Fiscal Note** Status:

This fiscal note reflects the introduced concurrent resolution.

# **Summary of Legislation**

Under current law, slavery or involuntary servitude is prohibited except as punishment for a crime in which the offender has been convicted. This concurrent resolution refers a question to the voters that would, if approved, amend the Colorado Constitution by repealing this exception and prohibiting slavery or involuntary servitude in all circumstances.

## **Background**

Criminal justice system offender work practices. The following offender work practices are currently used in the Colorado criminal justice system:

- prison work where all eligible offenders are expected to work, unless assigned to an approved education or training program;
- community service as part of a sentence in which the offender must work a certain number of hours in addition to or in lieu of paying fines or being incarcerated, or as a condition of probation; and
- probation, in which the court may require an offender to maintain suitable employment and/or pursue employment-related education or vocational training.

## **State Revenue**

If approved by the voters and beginning in FY 2018-19, to the extent that offenders are ordered to pay additional criminal fines and court administrative fees instead of being sentenced to community service, state revenue credited to the General Fund and various cash funds in the Judicial Department will increase. Additionally, to the extent that offenders file lawsuits challenging community service or offender work programs, civil filing fee revenue will increase. These impacts will vary depending future sentencing decisions and on the number of legal challenges filed, but are expected to be minimal.

#### **TABOR Refund**

This concurrent resolution may increase state revenue from criminal fines and fees and civil filing fees, which will increase the amount of money required to be refunded under TABOR for FY 2018-19 and FY 2019-20. Since the bill increases revenue to the General Fund and the refund obligation by equal amounts, there is no net impact on the amount of money available in the General Fund for the budget. However, the bill will increase money for the General Fund budget in the future during years when the state does not collect revenue above the TABOR limit. State revenue subject to TABOR is not estimated for years beyond FY 2019-20.

## **State Expenditures**

If approved by the voters and beginning in FY 2018-19, this concurrent resolution potentially impacts state agency workload in several ways. First, Department of Law workload may increase to litigate any cases regarding community service or offender work programs. Second, to the extent that such litigation occurs, trial court workload in the Judicial Department will also increase. Third, to the extent that fewer people are sentenced to community service as part of a probation sentence, probation workload will decrease. Lastly, the Department of Corrections may need to implement changes to work program policies and procedures. These impacts will vary depending future sentencing decisions and on the number of legal challenges filed, but are expected to be minimal.

**Election expenditure impact** — **existing appropriations.** This concurrent resolution includes a referred measure that will appear before voters at the November 2018 general election. While no additional appropriation is required, certain election costs are incurred by the state when ballot measures are referred to voters. These costs, paid using existing appropriations, are in two areas. First, current law requires the state to reimburse counties for costs incurred conducting a ballot measure election, paid from the Department of State Cash Fund in the Secretary of State's Office, estimated at \$3.2 million in FY 2018-19. Second, the text and title of the measure must be published in one legal newspaper per county and an analysis of the measure must be included in the Ballot Information Booklet mailed to all registered voter households, paid from the Ballot Analysis Revolving Fund in the Legislative Department, which is estimated to cost \$2.1 million in FY 2018-19. Publication costs will increase by approximately \$115,000 per measure beyond this base amount for any additional referred or initiated measures placed on the ballot.

#### **Local Government**

If approved by the voters and beginning in FY 2018-19, this concurrent resolution may increase county revenue, costs, and workload as described below.

**Denver County Court.** Similar to the state, Denver County Court revenue and workload may increase to the extent that offenders are ordered to pay additional fees instead of being sentenced to community service and if community service or offender work programs are challenged in court. To the extent that fewer people are sentenced to community service as part of a probation sentence, probation revenue and workload will decrease. These impacts are expected to be minimal.

**County jails.** To the extent that offenders are sentenced to jail instead of community service, county jail costs will increase. Because the courts have the discretion of incarceration or imposing a fine, or other sentencing outcomes, the precise impact at the local level cannot be determined. Estimated costs to house an offender in a county jail vary from \$53 to \$114 per day. For the current fiscal year, the state reimburses county jails at a daily rate of \$54.39 to house state inmates.

## **Effective Date**

This concurrent resolution takes effect after the date of the official declaration of the vote by proclamation of the Governor, no later than 30 days after the votes have been canvassed.

#### **State and Local Government Contacts**

Corrections	Counties	Judicial
Law	Sheriffs	